

EXHIBIT 13 DATE 1/18/07 820 18 st Street NE, State 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056


center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

## STATE TABOR PROPOSALS - 2005 LEGISLATIVE SESSION<sup>1</sup>

State	Outcome
1. Alaska	Died in Committee
2. Arizona	- Died in Committee (2)
	- Killed in Committee on the Whole
3. California	- Died in Committee
	- TABOR Initiatives replaced by "Live Within Our Means Act," which limits
	expenditures to avg. growth of General Fund and special funds revenues over
	the prior three years; defeated Nov. 8, 2005
4. Idaho	Died in Committee
5. Kansas	Not taken up this session; same proposal can still be considered in 2006
	session
6. Maine <sup>2</sup>	- Killed in Committee
	- Collecting signatures (Nov. 06)
7. Maryland	Killed in Committee
8. Michigan	In Committee (2)
9. Minnesota	Died in Committee
10. Missouri	Voted out of House Rules Committee, but never made it to House floor vote
11. Nevada	Killed in Senate Finance Committee
12. New Hampshire	Killed in House Finance Committee
13. New Mexico	Died in Committee
14. North Carolina	Died in Committee
15. Ohio	- In Committee (where it has basically died)
	- Qualified for Nov. 06 ballot
16. Oklahoma	Died in Committee (2)
17. Oregon	Collecting signatures (Nov. 06)
18. Pennsylvania	- Senate Bill passed Senate floor
	- House Bill to be voted on in Nov.
19. South Carolina	Died in Committee
20. Tennessee	Died in Committee
21. Texas	Died in Committee
22. Virginia	Died in Committee
23. Wisconsin	In Committee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, proposals listed are constitutional amendments that limit annual growth in state (or state and local) spending or revenue to the rate of growth of population plus inflation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Both proposals are identical and statutory (citizen initiatives can't be constitutional amendments).



820 First Street NE, Suite 510 Washington, DC 20002

Tel: 202-408-1080 Fax: 202-408-1056

center@cbpp.org www.cbpp.org

Updated January 18, 2007

## STATE TABOR PROPOSALS - 2006 LEGISLATIVE SESSION<sup>1</sup>

State	Status
1. Arizona	2 bills died in Legislature
2. Kansas	Bill died in Committee
3. Maine <sup>2</sup>	Initiative was DEFEATED on the November 2006 ballot (54% vs. 46%)
4. Maryland	Bill died in Committee
5. Michigan	- Initiative did not get on November 2006 ballot. Signatures turned in for an initiative but they were rejected by the Board of Canvassers. Court of Appeals upheld decision 2 bills (carried over from last year) died in Committee
6. Minnesota	Bill died in Committee
7. Missouri	<ul> <li>Initiative did not get on November 2006 ballot. Signatures were turned in for an initiative, but they were rejected by the Secretary of State and the courts.</li> <li>Bill died in Committee</li> </ul>
8. Montana	Signatures turned in for an initiative (Nov. 2006), but they were rejected by the District and Supreme Court for fraud. Proposal also rejected due to unconstitutionality.
9. Nebraska	Initiative was DEFEATED on the November 2006 ballot (70% vs. 30%)
10. Nevada	Initiative did not get onto November 2006 ballot. Removed from ballot by Supreme Court due non-compliance with rules for ballot questions, i.e. failing to file an accurate copy of the amendment before collecting signatures.
11. Ohio	Initiative taken off Nov. 2006 ballot- legislature passed a less restrictive statutory version
12. Oklahoma	<ul> <li>Initiative did not get on November 2006 ballot. Removed from ballot by Supreme</li> <li>Court due to insufficient valid signatures.</li> <li>Bill died in Committee</li> </ul>
13. Oregon	Initiative DEFEATED on the November 2006 ballot (71% vs. 29%)
14. Pennsylvania	- Senate bill passed Senate floor, but died in Committee in the House - House bill died in Committee
15. South Carolina	Bill died in Committee
16. Wisconsin	-2 bills DEFEATED on the floor of the Senate -1 bill died in Committee

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise noted, proposals listed are constitutional amendments that limit annual growth in state (or state and local) spending or revenue to the rate of growth of population plus inflation and that require voter approval to override the limits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The initiative is statutory since citizen initiatives can't be constitutional amendments.